

On the Irreducibility of the Complex Specialization of the Representation of The Hecke Algebra of the Complex Reflection Group G_7

MOHAMMAD Y. CHREIF AND MOHAMMAD N. ABDULRAHIM

abstract We consider a 2-dimensional representation of the Hecke algebra $\mathcal{H}(G_7, u)$, where G_7 is the complex reflection group and u is the set of indeterminates $u = (x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2, y_3, z_1, z_2, z_3)$. After specializing the indeterminates to non zero complex numbers, we then determine a necessary and sufficient condition that guarantees the irreducibility of the complex specialization of the representation of the Hecke algebra $\mathcal{H}(G_7, u)$.

2. INTRODUCTION

Let V be a complex vector space and W a finite irreducible subgroup of $GL(V)$ generated by complex reflections. Let R be the set of reflections in W . For any element s of R , denote by H_s its pointwise fixed hyperplane. We define the set $V^{reg} = V - \cup_{s \in R} H_s$ and denote by \bar{V} the quotient V^{reg}/W .

The braid group associated to (W, V) is the fundamental group $B(W) = \pi_1(\bar{V}, \bar{x}_0)$ of \bar{V} with respect to any point $\bar{x}_0 \in \bar{V}$.

We choose the set of indeterminates, $u = (u_{s,j})_{s, 0 \leq j \leq o(s)-1}$, where s runs over the generators of W and $u_{s,j} = u_{t,j}$ if s and t are conjugate in W . Here $o(s)$ denotes the order of s . The cyclotomic Hecke algebra associated to W is the quotient of the group algebra $\mathbb{Z}[u, u^{-1}]BW$ by the ideal generated by the relations $\prod_{j=0}^{o(s)-1} (s - u_{s,j})$.

In [7], G. Malle and J. Michel constructed on the cyclotomic hecke algebra $\mathcal{H}(G_7, u)$ of the complex reflexion group, G_7 , an irreducible representation $\phi : \mathcal{H}(G_7, u) \rightarrow M_2(\mathbb{C}(u^{\frac{1}{2}}, u^{-\frac{1}{2}}))$, where u is the set of indeterminates $u = (x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2, y_3, z_1, z_2, z_3)$.

Key words and Phrases. braid group, Hecke Algebra, irreducible, reflections
Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary: 20F36

In our work, we specialize the indeterminates $x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2, y_3, z_1, z_2$ and z_3 to nonzero complex numbers $\rho e^{i\alpha}$, where $\alpha \in (-\pi, \pi]$ and ρ a positive real number. We then get a representation $\varphi : \mathcal{H}(G_7, u) \rightarrow GL_2(\mathbb{C})$. In section 3, we consider the case when $x_1 = x_2$ and we show that φ is irreducible if and only if $z_1 \neq \frac{y_1 z_2}{y_2}$ and $z_1 \neq \frac{y_2 z_2}{y_1}$ (Theorem 2). In section 4, we assume that $x_1 \neq x_2$ and we show that φ is irreducible if and only if $x_1 y_2 z_2 \neq x_2 y_1 z_1$, $x_1 y_1 z_2 \neq x_2 y_2 z_1$, $x_1 y_2 z_1 \neq x_2 y_1 z_2$ and $x_1 y_1 z_1 \neq x_2 y_2 z_2$ (Theorem 3).

2. PRELIMINARIES

Definition 1 [6] *Let V be a complex vector space of dimension n . A complex reflection of $GL(V)$ is a non-trivial element of $GL(V)$ which acts trivially on a hyperplane.*

Definition 2 [6] *Let V be a complex vector space of dimension n . A complex reflection group is the subgroup of $GL(V)$ generated by complex reflections.*

Examples of complex reflection groups include dihedral groups and symmetric groups. For $n \geq 3$, the dihedral group, D_n , is the group of the isometries of the plane preserving a regular polygon, with the operation being composition.

A classification of all irreducible reflection groups shows that there are 34 primitive irreducible reflection groups [8]. The starting point was with A. Cohen, who provided a data for those irreducible complex reflection groups of rank 2 [5].

Definition 3 [3] *The complex reflection group, G_7 , is an abstract group defined by the presentation*

$$G_7 = \langle t, u, s \mid t^2 = u^3 = s^3 = 1, tus = ust = stu \rangle.$$

Theorem 1 [1] *The braid group of G_7 is isomorphic to the group*

$$B = \langle s_1, s_2, s_3 \mid s_1 s_2 s_3 = s_2 s_3 s_1 = s_3 s_1 s_2 \rangle.$$

Definitions and properties of braid groups are found in [2].

Definition 4 [7] *Let u be the set of indeterminates $u = (x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2, y_3, z_1, z_2, z_3)$. The cyclotomic Hecke algebra $\mathcal{H}(G_7, u)$ of G_7 is the quotient of the group algebra of B over $\mathbb{Z}[u, u^{-1}]$ by the relations*

$$(s_1 - x_1)(s_1 - x_2) = 0, \quad \prod_{i=1}^3 (s_2 - y_i) = 0, \quad \prod_{i=1}^3 (s_3 - z_i) = 0.$$

For more details about the Hecke algebra of G_7 , see [4].

Definition 5 [7] *Let $u = (x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2, y_3, z_1, z_2, z_3)$. The representation ϕ is defined as follows:*

$$\phi : \mathcal{H}(G_7, u) \rightarrow M_2(\mathbb{C}(u^{\pm \frac{1}{2}}))$$

$$s_1 = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 & \frac{y_1+y_2}{y_1y_2} - \frac{(z_1+z_2)x_2}{r} \\ 0 & x_2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad s_2 = \begin{pmatrix} y_1 + y_2 & \frac{1}{x_1} \\ -y_1y_2x_1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$s_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \frac{-r}{y_1y_2x_1x_2} \\ r & z_1 + z_2 \end{pmatrix},$$

where $r = \sqrt{x_1x_2y_1y_2z_1z_2}$.

We specialize the indeterminates $x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2, z_1, z_2$ and z_3 to nonzero complex numbers, $\rho e^{i\alpha}$, where $\alpha \in (-\pi, \pi]$ and ρ a positive real number. We then get a representation $\varphi : \mathcal{H}(G_7, u) \rightarrow GL_2(\mathbb{C})$.

Definition 6 *Principal square root function is defined as follows:*
 $z \in \mathbb{C}$, $z = (\rho, \alpha)$, $\rho \geq 0$. $\sqrt{z} = \sqrt{\rho}e^{i\frac{\alpha}{2}}$ where $-\pi < \alpha \leq \pi$.

Since $\alpha \in (-\pi, \pi]$, it follows that $\sqrt{z^2} = z$ for any complex number z .

3. IRREDUCIBILITY OF THE REPRESENTATION φ FOR $x_1 = x_2$

We assume that $x_1 = x_2$ and we find a necessary and sufficient condition that guarantees the irreducibility of the representation $\varphi : \mathcal{H}(G_7, u) \rightarrow GL_2(\mathbb{C})$.

Under this assumption, we have that the images of the generators of $\mathcal{H}(G_7, u)$ are

$$s_1 = \begin{pmatrix} x_2 & \frac{y_1+y_2}{y_1y_2} - \frac{(z_1+z_2)x_2}{\sqrt{x_2^2y_1y_2z_1z_2}} \\ 0 & x_2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad s_2 = \begin{pmatrix} y_1+y_2 & \frac{1}{x_2} \\ -y_1y_2x_2 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$s_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\frac{\sqrt{x_2^2y_1y_2z_1z_2}}{x_2^2y_1y_2} \\ r & z_1+z_2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

For the matrix s_1 , we denote by $s_1(i, j)$ the term of the matrix s_1 which lies in the i th row and in the j th column.

Lemma 1 $s_1(1, 2) = 0$ if and only if $z_1 = \frac{y_1z_2}{y_2}$ or $z_1 = \frac{y_2z_2}{y_1}$.

Proof. We show that if $s_1(1, 2) = 0$ then $z_1 = \frac{y_1z_2}{y_2}$ or $z_1 = \frac{y_2z_2}{y_1}$.

Assume that $s_1(1, 2) = 0$. This implies that $\frac{y_1+y_2}{y_1y_2} = \frac{(z_1+z_2)x_2}{x_2\sqrt{y_1y_2z_1z_2}}$. This implies that $(y_1+y_2)\sqrt{y_1y_2z_1z_2} = (z_1+z_2)y_1y_2$. Using $y_1y_2 = (\sqrt{y_1y_2})^2$, we get $(y_1+y_2)\sqrt{z_1z_2} = (z_1+z_2)\sqrt{y_1y_2}$.

Squaring both sides, we obtain $(y_1+y_2)^2z_1z_2 = (z_1+z_2)^2y_1y_2$. This implies that $z_1 = \frac{y_1z_2}{y_2}$ or $z_1 = \frac{y_2z_2}{y_1}$. On the other hand, direct computations show that if $z_1 = \frac{y_1z_2}{y_2}$ or $z_1 = \frac{y_2z_2}{y_1}$ then $s_1(1, 2) = 0$.

We now determine a sufficient condition for irreducibility.

Proposition 1 *The representation φ is irreducible if $z_1 \neq \frac{y_1z_2}{y_2}$ and $z_1 \neq \frac{y_2z_2}{y_1}$.*

Proof. Using the hypothesis and Lemma 1, we get $s_1(1, 2) \neq 0$. Let S be a non trivial proper invariant subspace of \mathbb{C}^2 . The eigenspace of s_1 is generated by e_1 . This implies that S is of the form $\langle v \rangle$, where $v = ae_1$ for some non-zero complex number a .

S is invariant implies that $s_2v = (a(y_1+y_2), -ax_2y_1y_2) \in S$, which is a contradiction. Therefore S is irreducible.

We determine a necessary condition for irreducibility.

Proposition 2 *The representation φ is reducible if $z_1 = \frac{y_1 z_2}{y_2}$ or $z_1 = \frac{y_2 z_2}{y_1}$.*

Proof. In each case, we show that the 1-dimensional subspace M generated by the vector $u = (-\frac{1}{x_2 y_2}, 1)$ is invariant.

Case1. $z_1 = \frac{y_1 z_2}{y_2}$. Substituting in Definition 5, we get

$$s_1 = \begin{pmatrix} x_2 & 0 \\ 0 & x_2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad s_2 = \begin{pmatrix} y_1 + y_2 & \frac{1}{x_2} \\ -x_2 y_1 y_2 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$s_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\frac{z_2}{x_2 y_2} \\ x_2 y_1 z_2 & z_2 + \frac{y_1 z_2}{y_2} \end{pmatrix}.$$

It is easy to see that $s_2 u = y_1 u$ and $s_3 u = z_2 u$. This implies that M is invariant.

Case2. $z_1 = \frac{y_2 z_2}{y_1}$. Substituting in Definition 5, we get

$$s_1 = \begin{pmatrix} x_2 & 0 \\ 0 & x_2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad s_2 = \begin{pmatrix} y_1 + y_2 & \frac{1}{x_2} \\ -x_2 y_1 y_2 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$s_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\frac{z_2}{x_2 y_1} \\ x_2 y_2 z_2 & z_2 + \frac{y_2 z_2}{y_1} \end{pmatrix}.$$

It is also easy to see that $s_2 u = y_1 u$ and $s_3 u = z_2 \frac{y_2}{y_1} u$. This implies that M is invariant.

Here we have proved the following theorem:

Theorem 2 *The representation φ is irreducible if and only if $z_1 \neq \frac{y_1 z_2}{y_2}$ and $z_1 \neq \frac{y_2 z_2}{y_1}$.*

4. IRREDUCIBILITY OF THE REPRESENTATION φ FOR $x_1 \neq x_2$

We assume that $x_1 \neq x_2$ and we find a necessary and sufficient condition that guarantees the irreducibility of the representation $\varphi: \mathcal{H}(G_7, u) \rightarrow GL_2(\mathbb{C})$.

For simplicity, we denote by w the term

$$(x_1 - x_2)^2 y_1^2 y_2^2 z_1 z_2 + [(y_1 + y_2)r - x_1 y_1 y_2 (z_1 + z_2)][(y_1 + y_2)r - x_2 y_1 y_2 (z_1 + z_2)] \dots (1)$$

Lemma 2 *The complex number w , defined in (1), is different from zero if and only if $x_1 y_2 z_2 \neq x_2 y_1 z_1$, $x_1 y_1 z_2 \neq x_2 y_2 z_1$, $x_1 y_2 z_1 \neq x_2 y_1 z_2$ and $x_1 y_1 z_1 \neq x_2 y_2 z_2$.*

Proof. Simple calculations show that $w = \alpha\beta$, where

$$\alpha = x_2 y_1 y_2 z_1 + x_1 y_1 y_2 z_2 - (y_1 + y_2)r \text{ and } \beta = x_1 y_1 y_2 z_1 + x_2 y_1 y_2 z_2 - (y_1 + y_2)r.$$

Assume that $w = 0$. This implies that $\alpha = 0$ or $\beta = 0$.

If $\alpha = 0$, then $x_2 y_1 y_2 z_1 + x_1 y_1 y_2 z_2 = (y_1 + y_2)r$. Squaring both sides, we get $y_1 y_2 (-x_2 y_2 z_1 + x_1 y_1 z_2)(-x_2 y_1 z_1 + x_1 y_2 z_2) = 0$. This implies that $x_1 y_1 z_2 = x_2 y_2 z_1$ or $x_1 y_2 z_2 = x_2 y_1 z_1$.

If $\beta = 0$, then $x_1 y_1 y_2 z_1 + x_2 y_1 y_2 z_2 = (y_1 + y_2)r$. Squaring both sides, we get $y_1 y_2 (x_1 y_2 z_1 - x_2 y_1 z_2)(x_1 y_1 z_1 - x_2 y_2 z_2) = 0$. This implies that $x_1 y_2 z_1 = x_2 y_1 z_2$ or $x_1 y_1 z_1 = x_2 y_2 z_2$.

On the other hand, we assume that any of the following conditions holds true.

$$x_1 y_2 z_2 = x_2 y_1 z_1, x_1 y_1 z_2 = x_2 y_2 z_1, x_1 y_2 z_1 = x_2 y_1 z_2 \text{ or } x_1 y_1 z_1 = x_2 y_2 z_2$$

Under direct computations, we easily verify that $w = 0$.

We now give a sufficient condition for the irreducibility of the representation φ .

Proposition 3 *The representation ϕ is irreducible if $x_1 y_2 z_2 \neq x_2 y_1 z_1$, $x_1 y_1 z_2 \neq x_2 y_2 z_1$, $x_1 y_2 z_1 \neq x_2 y_1 z_2$ and $x_1 y_1 z_1 \neq x_2 y_2 z_2$.*

Proof. If the term $s_1(1, 2) = \frac{y_1+y_2}{y_1y_2} - \frac{x_2(z_1+z_2)}{r}$ equals zero, then neither e_1 nor e_2 is a common eigenvector for s_2 and s_3 . This implies that the representation is irreducible. We note that under this case, we have that the complex number w is not zero and hence, by Lemma 2, we also have that $x_1y_2z_2 \neq x_2y_1z_1$, $x_1y_1z_2 \neq x_2y_2z_1$, $x_1y_2z_1 \neq x_2y_1z_2$ and $x_1y_1z_1 \neq x_2y_2z_2$.

If $s_1(1, 2) = \frac{y_1+y_2}{y_1y_2} - \frac{x_2(z_1+z_2)}{r}$ is not zero, we diagonalize the matrix S_1 by the invertible matrix

$$T = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \frac{\frac{y_1+y_2}{y_1y_2} - \frac{x_2(z_1+z_2)}{r}}{x_2-x_1} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

We get

$$T^{-1}s_1T = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 & 0 \\ 0 & x_2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

We then conjugate s_2 by the matrix T . We get

$$T^{-1}s_2T = \begin{pmatrix} M & w \\ -x_1y_1y_2 & P \end{pmatrix}, \text{ where}$$

$$M = -\frac{x_2(-x_1y_1y_2z_1 - x_1y_1y_2z_2 + y_1r + y_2r)}{(x_1-x_2)r},$$

and

$$P = -\frac{x_1(-x_2y_1y_2z_1 - x_2y_1y_2z_2 - y_1r - y_2r)}{(x_1-x_2)r}.$$

By conjugating s_3 by T , we get

$$T^{-1}s_3T = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ r & C \end{pmatrix}, \text{ where}$$

$$A = \frac{(y_1+y_2)r-x_2y_1y_2(z_1+z_2)}{(x_1-x_2)y_1y_2},$$

$$B =$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{(x_1-x_2)^2r^3}x_1x_2z_1z_2(-x_1x_2y_1y_2z_1^2 - x_1x_2y_1^2z_1z_2 - x_1^2y_1y_2z_1z_2 - 2x_1x_2y_1y_2z_1z_2 \\ & - x_2^2y_1y_2z_1z_2 - x_1x_2y_2^2z_1z_2 - x_1x_2y_1y_2z_2^2 + x_1y_1z_1r + x_2y_1z_1r \\ & + x_1y_2z_1r + x_2y_2z_1r + x_1y_1z_2r + x_2y_1z_2r + x_1y_2z_2r + x_2y_2z_2r) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$C = \frac{-r(y_1+y_2)+x_1y_1y_2(z_1+z_2)}{(x_1-x_2)y_1y_2}.$$

For simplicity, we denote $T^{-1}s_iT$ by b_i for $1 \leq i \leq 3$.

Suppose, to get contradiction, that the representation is reducible. That is, there exists a non trivial proper invariant subspace M of \mathbb{C}^2 of dimension 1.

The subspace M has to be one of the following subspaces $\langle e_1 \rangle$ or $\langle e_2 \rangle$.

Case1 $S = \langle e_1 \rangle$. Since $e_1 \in M$, it follows that

$$b_3e_1 = (A, r) \in M.$$

This implies that $r = 0$, a contradiction.

Case2 $S = \langle e_2 \rangle$. Since $e_2 \in M$, it follows that

$$b_2e_2 = (w, P) \in M.$$

By Lemma 2, we have that w is different from zero, which is a contradiction. Therefore the representation is irreducible.

We now present a lemma concerning the number B used in defining $T^{-1}s_3T$ in Proposition 3.

Lemma 3 *The complex number B equals zero in each of the following cases:*

$$1. \ x_1 y_2 z_2 = x_2 y_1 z_1$$

$$2. \ x_1 y_1 z_2 = x_2 y_2 z_1$$

$$3. \ x_1 y_2 z_1 = x_2 y_1 z_2$$

$$4. \ x_1 y_1 z_1 = x_2 y_2 z_2$$

Proof. We verify that $B = 0$ in case (i). Suppose that $x_1 y_2 z_2 = x_2 y_1 z_1$ then $B =$

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{(x_1 - x_2)^2 r^3} x_1 x_2 z_1 z_2 \left(-\frac{x_2 y_1 z_1}{y_2 z_2} x_2 y_1 y_2 z_1^2 - \frac{x_2 y_1 z_1}{y_2 z_2} x_2 y_1^2 z_1 z_2 - \frac{x_2^2 y_1^2 z_1^2}{y_2^2 z_2^2} y_1 y_2 z_1 z_2 - 2 \frac{x_2 y_1 z_1}{y_2 z_2} x_2 y_1 y_2 z_1 z_2 \right. \\ & - x_2^2 y_1 y_2 z_1 z_2 - \frac{x_2 y_1 z_1}{y_2 z_2} x_2 y_2^2 z_1 z_2 - \frac{x_2 y_1 z_1}{y_2 z_2} x_2 y_1 y_2 z_2^2 + \frac{x_2 y_1 z_1}{y_2 z_2} y_1 z_1 x_2 y_1 z_1 + x_2 y_1 z_1 x_2 y_1 z_1 \\ & + \frac{x_2 y_1 z_1}{y_2 z_2} y_2 z_1 x_2 y_1 z_1 + x_2 y_2 z_1 x_2 y_1 z_1 + \frac{x_2 y_1 z_1}{y_2 z_2} y_1 z_2 x_2 y_1 z_1 + x_2 y_1 z_2 x_2 y_1 z_1 + \frac{x_2 y_1 z_1}{y_2 z_2} y_2 z_2 x_2 y_1 z_1 \\ & \left. + x_2 y_2 z_2 x_2 y_1 z_1 \right) \\ & = \frac{1}{(x_1 - x_2)^2 r^3} x_1 x_2 z_1 z_2 \left(-\frac{x_2^2 y_1^2 z_1^3}{z_2} - \frac{x_2^2 y_1^3 z_1^2}{y_2} - \frac{x_2^2 y_1^3 z_1^3}{y_2 z_2} - 2 x_2^2 y_1^2 z_1^2 - x_2^2 y_1 y_2 z_1 z_2 - x_2^2 y_1 y_2 z_1^2 \right. \\ & - x_2^2 y_1^2 z_1 z_2 + \frac{x_2^2 y_1^3 z_1^3}{y_2 z_2} + x_2^2 y_1^2 z_1^2 + \frac{x_2^2 y_1^2 z_1^3}{z_2} + x_2^2 y_1 y_2 z_1^2 + \frac{x_2^2 y_1^3 z_1^2}{y_2} + x_2^2 y_1^2 z_1 z_2 + x_2^2 y_1^2 z_1^2 \\ & \left. + x_2^2 y_1 y_2 z_1 z_2 \right) \\ & = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Likewise, we show that $B = 0$ under each of the other conditions.

We now present a necessary condition for irreducibility.

Proposition 4 *The representation is reducible in each of the following cases:*

$$1. \ x_1 y_2 z_2 = x_2 y_1 z_1$$

$$2. \ x_1 y_1 z_2 = x_2 y_2 z_1$$

$$3. \ x_1 y_2 z_1 = x_2 y_1 z_2$$

$$4. \ x_1 y_1 z_1 = x_2 y_2 z_2$$

Proof. Assume that we have either one of the following conditions holds true:

$$x_1y_2z_2 = x_2y_1z_1, x_1y_1z_2 = x_2y_2z_1, x_1y_2z_1 = x_2y_1z_2 \text{ or } x_1y_1z_1 = x_2y_2z_2$$

Let S be the one dimensional subspace generated by e_2 .

If $s_1(1, 2) = \frac{y_1+y_2}{y_1y_2} - \frac{x_2(z_1+z_2)}{r}$ equals zero, then w , as defined in section 4, equals $(x_1 - x_2)^2 y_1^2 y_2^2 z_1 z_2$. This implies that $w \neq 0$. By lemma 2, we get a contradiction.

Therefore, without loss of generality, we assume that $s_1(1, 2) \neq 0$. We then conjugate the representation by the invertible matrix T . Recall that $b_i = T^{-1}s_iT$ ($i = 1, 2, 3$). We then have that $b_2e_2 = (w, P) = (0, P)$ by Lemma 2, and $b_3e_2 = (B, C) = (0, C)$ by Lemma 3. It follows that S is invariant under this representation.

This leads us to state a necessary and sufficient condition for the irreducibility of the representation.

Theorem 3 *The representation is irreducible if and only if $x_1y_2z_2 \neq x_2y_1z_1$, $x_1y_1z_2 \neq x_2y_2z_1$, $x_1y_2z_1 \neq x_2y_1z_2$ and $x_1y_1z_1 \neq x_2y_2z_2$.*

Conflict of Interest

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interest regarding the publication of this paper.

References

- [1] D. Bessis, J. Michel, *Explicit presentations for exceptional braid groups*, Experiment. Math., 13 (3), 257-266, 2004.
- [2] J. Birman, *Braids, Links and Mapping Class Groups*, Annals of Mathematical Studies, Princeton University Press, 82, 1975.
- [3] M. Broué, G. Malle, R. Rouquier, *Complex reflection groups, braid groups, Hecke algebras*, J. reine angew. Math., 500, 127-190, 1998.
- [4] M. Chlouveraki, *Degree and Valuation of the Schur elements of cyclotomic Hecke algebras*, J. Algebra, 320 (11), 3935-3949, 2008.
- [5] A. Cohen, *Finite complex reflection groups*, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4), 9 (3), 379-436, 1976.

- [6] I. Gordon, S. Griffeth, *Catalan numbers for complex reflection groups*, Amer. J. Math., 134 (6), 1491-1502, 2012.
- [7] G. Malle, J. Michel, *Constructing representations of Hecke algebras for complex reflection groups*, LMS J. Comput. Math., 13, 426-450, 2010.
- [8] G. Shephard, J. Todd, *Finite unitary reflection groups*, Canadian J. Math., 6, 274-304, 1954.

Mohammad Y. Chreif, Department of Mathematics, Beirut Arab University, P.O. Box 11-5020, Beirut, Lebanon
E-mail address: myc102@student.bau.edu.lb

Mohammad N. Abdulrahim, Department of Mathematics, Beirut Arab University, P.O. Box 11-5020, Beirut, Lebanon
E-mail address: mna@bau.edu.lb